



712CD

75TH MORSS CD Cover Page

If you would like your presentation included in the 75th MORSS Final Report CD it must :

1. Be unclassified, approved for public release, distribution unlimited, and is exempt from U.S. export licensing and other export approvals including the International Traffic in Arms Regulations (22CFR120 et seq.);
2. Include MORS Form 712CD as the first page of the presentation;
3. Have an approved MORS form 712 A/B and
4. Be turned into the MORS office no later than: **DEADLINE: 14 June 2007 (Late submissions will not be included.)**

Presentation Title: Gaps, Tools, and Evaluation Methodologies for Analyzing Irregular Warfare

Author Request (To be completed by applicant) - The following author(s) request authority to disclose the following presentation in the MORSS Final Report, for inclusion on the MORSS CD and/or posting on the MORS web site.

Name of Principal Author and all other author(s): Dr. Deborah V. Duong

Principal Author's Organization and address: OSD-PA&E Simulation Analysis Center
1401 Wilson Blvd. Suite 300
Arlington, VA 22209

Phone: 703-696-9360

Fax: 703-696-9394

Email: debbie.duong.ctr@osd.mil

Please use the same title listed on the 75TH MORSS Disclosure Form 712 A/B. If the title of the presentation has changed please list both.)

Original title on 712 A/B: **Gaps, Tools, and Evaluation Methodologies for Analyzing the Global War on Terror**

If the title was revised please list the original title above and the revised title here:

PRESENTED IN:

WORKING GROUPS:	16,17,and 32	DEMONSTRATION:	
COMPOSITE GROUP:		POSTER:	
SPECIAL SESSION 1:		TUTORIAL:	
SPECIAL SESSION 2:		OTHER:	
SPECIAL SESSION 3:			

This presentation is believed to be: **Unclassified, approved for public release, distribution unlimited, and is exempt from U.S. export licensing and other export approvals including the International Traffic in Arms Regulations (22CFR120 et seq.)**

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE 01 JUN 2007		2. REPORT TYPE N/A		3. DATES COVERED -	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Gaps, Tools, and Evaluation Methodologies for Analyzing Irregular Warfare				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) OSD-PA&E Simulation Analysis Center 1401 Wilson Blvd. Suite 300 Arlington, VA 22209				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release, distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES See also ADM202526. Military Operations Research Society Symposium (75th) Held in Annapolis, Maryland on June 12-14, 2007, The original document contains color images.					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UU	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 13	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			



Gaps, Tools, and Evaluation Methodologies for Analyzing Irregular Warfare

Dr. Deborah V. Duong
75th MORS Symposium
June, 2007

Definition of Irregular Warfare

OSD/PA&E

- **“A violent struggle among state and non-state actors for legitimacy and influence over the relevant populations. IW favors indirect and asymmetric approaches, though it may employ the full range of military and other capabilities, in order to erode an adversary’s power, influence, and will”**

Irregular Warfare Joint Operating Concept, OSD and Joint Staff, Version 1, p 4.

- **Irregular Warfare emphasizes social phenomena**
 - “legitimacy” and “influence” are studied in the social sciences

Different Analysis Techniques Needed

OSD/PA&E

- **Modeling and Simulation necessary for analysis of Course of Action and Resource Allocation**
- **Conventional Warfare models use simple physics equations to back decisions on courses of action and resource allocation**
 - Simple equations tell us, n airplanes are needed against m tanks
 - They can not tell us, n civil affairs officers are needed against m priests
 - But we still need to back our decisions!
- **Irregular Warfare models should incorporate complex social phenomena like “legitimacy” and “influence” to guide our decisions**
 - Social Science, not Physics, speaks to these subjects
 - Different modeling techniques are needed to represent human phenomena



Gaps in IW warfare modeling

OSD/PA&E

- **Needed: Identification of**
 - Gaps in IW warfare modeling
 - Technologies capable of filling those gaps
 - Reasons to believe these technologies have credible solutions

Gap: Modeling RED C2

OSD/PA&E

- **Modeling and Simulation Analysis**

- Monte Carlo analysis is needed to describe a varied and complex space of outcomes under uncertainty
- The space of irregular warfare is varied and complex
- To explore the space in a statistically significant manner, many runs are needed
- Dilemma: It is hard to achieve a statistically significant number of runs with any technique which uses a human-in-the-loop
- Therefore, constructive simulation is needed.

- **Gap: Modeling the decisions of an intelligent, adaptive, opportunistic enemy.**

- Enemy should
 - React to new combinations of situations based on goals
 - Learn from and adapt to BLUE reactions



GAP: Modeling Green PMESII (Political, Military, Social, Infrastructure and Information)

OSD/PA&E

- **Computational Social Science needed for any analysis**
 - Humans-in-the-loop can play an opportunistic red well, but...
 - Not even SMEs can play the reaction of the GREEN populace well
 - SMEs tend to be stove-piped, and can not walk through the effects of micro level interactions on macro level social phenomena
 - The social world is so complex that we need computers to walk through all the implications of lower level facts
- **But, social science theories, unlike physics theories, are not agreed upon**
 - Therefore, social science theory should be modular, re-combinable input
 - Important for the government's objectivity
 - Making the space MORE complex, and MORE in need of a computer
 - Analysis becomes the search for robust strategies
 - » Which is in line with GWOT resource allocation goals

GAP: Modeling Green PMESII

OSD/PA&E

- **Computational social science is HARD... and models have cut corners...**
 - Models are computationally convenient, ad-hoc, not capturing the essence of theory, and not scientifically careful
 - Models should reflect social theory in proportion to its occurrence in social science literature
 - Examples of computationally convenient models (physics or math based):
 - » power law, social network (graph theory) , netlogo/cellular automata/spatial, fluid dynamics and system dynamics, contagion (yes, the majority of the field...)
 - Models should adapt to the needs of social theory and not visa versa
 - Social theory should appear completely in models and not in pieces
 - Since you can find a theory for any relation, pieced together models are ad-hoc
 - Extraneous relations (representing gaps in theory) should be minimized
 - Models often conflate the input assumptions with the output analysis
 - For example, explaining institutions (behaviors in common) with contagion (making everybody copy each other)



GAP: Modeling Green PMESII

OSD/PA&E

- **Gap: Fluid social structure and social change**
 - Simulating effects of terrorist micro actions on macro social structure (ie “state failure) and visa versa
 - Difficult to be true to both theory and data
 - Needs micro macro integration (multi-resolution) simulation
- **Gap: Information Warfare**
 - Ideas are not fluid levels rising and lowering as we see in physics-based models
 - IO models based on physics are not scientifically valid: they assume we can change hearts and minds, without walking through how
 - Second-order cybernetics are needed: Models of modelers
 - Interpretive social science is needed: changing interpretations of meaning, self-fulfilling prophecy, and culture based perceptions
- **Gap: Social Networks**
 - Not graph theoretical analysis, but the development of connections agents have to one another with differing influences
 - Not really hard... but... not really done...



Tools that address the Gaps in IW modeling

OSD/PA&E

- **War-gaming**

- Insight is gained by walking through situations
- However, analysis needs statistically significant results, which are hard to get with Human-In-The-Loop techniques
 - So, war-games may be branched
 - Computer can assist in rapid adjudication and “keeping all else the same”
 - Statistics can tease out the effect due to the interactions from bias brought to the game

- **Agent-Based Simulation**

- Works same way as war game: by walking through situations
- However, can do many more micro simulations than war games can, and compute macro level effects, for green PMESII simulation
- Agents are essential for simulating networked relations
- Agents are needed to simulate game theoretical and artificial intelligence based techniques



Tool: Agent Based Techniques

OSD/PA&E

- **Game Theory**

- Agents can react to each other based on their perceptions of other agents perceptions ... modeling modelers as needed in IO warfare
- Agents can find equilibria
 - Nash equilibria...- solutions where no competing party can do better
 - Shelling points – cooperative solutions
 - These are great states to cajole a situation into for COA analysis
- Signaling theory finds payoffs for communication, needed in IO warfare

- **AI techniques**

- Expert systems: agents can hold modular rulesets that represent behaviors of social groups they belong to
- Uncertainty: agents can have perceptions and actions based on probability theory (bayesian networks) or “qualitative” reasoning (fuzzy systems)
- Machine learning techniques: Agents can learn how to deal with new situations and generalize about them using neural networks and genetic algorithms, or more advanced co-evolutionary techniques



Tools: Integrative Methods

OSD/PA&E

- **System Dynamics Techniques**

- Captures homeostatic nature of natural and social systems
- Integrates phenomena through modeling the feedback between phenomena
- But not good for modular switching in and out: more of a static “spaghetti” program
- Cant simulate networks and change in structure, but good for simulations that model “even mixing”
- Good for macro level processes that do not need feedback from the micro level

- **Integrative Toolkits**

- Since so many theories and strategies need recombination for exploration of the IW space, toolkits must address integration issues
- Models of different social phenomena are interdependent, and are different ways of viewing the same thing
- Models of micro and macro level (multi resolution) phenomena are also different ways of viewing the same thing
- Integrative toolkits need to find consensus and resolve conflicts between models that are different ways of viewing the same thing
- Feedback, as in the NSF DDDAS (Dynamic Data Driven Application Systems) program is promising



Evaluation Methodologies

OSD/PA&E

- **Starting assumptions**

- Describe Carefully: Anything you start with that “matters” to output distributions
 - Code: Sometimes discrete makes a difference, sometimes not
 - » Docking may be used to uncover hidden assumptions
 - Parameters
 - » Better models have fewer
 - Input Data
- Important to ensure that conclusions don’t follow directly from assumptions

- **Process**

- Describe Carefully: the process how Input goes to output
- Should be like the referent process
- Causal tracing can be done in emergent systems with a computer

- **Output Distributions**

- Define referent
 - Use theory to define pattern of interest
 - Social world is full of arbitrary phenomena, that shouldn’t be measured against

